# A covering problem for zonotopes and Coxeter permutahedra

Gyula Károlyi<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>HUN–REN Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Reáltanoda utca 13–15, H–1053 Budapest, Hungary
<sup>2</sup>Department of Algebra and Number Theory, Eötvös University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, H–1117 Budapest, Hungary

#### Abstract

An almost cover of a finite set in the affine space is a collection of hyperplanes that together cover all points of the set except one. According to the Alon-Füredi theorem, every almost cover of the vertex set of an n-dimensional cube requires at least n hyperplanes. Here we investigate a possible generalization of this result to Coxeter permutahedra: convex polytopes whose vertices form the orbit of a generic point under the action of a finite reflection group.

### 1 Introduction

An almost cover of a finite set in the affine space is a collection of hyperplanes that together cover all points of the set except one. According to a classical result of Jamison [11], an almost cover of the *n*-dimensional affine space over the *q*-element finite field requires at least (q-1)n hyperplanes. Equivalently, to pierce every affine hyperplane in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  one needs at least (q-1)n+1 points, see [5]. See also [4] for further results in finite geometries. Another example is the Alon-Füredi theorem [2]: Every almost cover of the vertex set of an *n*-dimensional cube requires at least *n* hyperplanes.

Consider those points in the *n*-dimensional space whose coordinates form a permutation of the first *n* positive integers. The elements of this set  $P_n$  are the vertices of a convex (n-1)-dimensional polytope called the permutahedron (spelled also as permutohedron)  $\Pi_{n-1}$ . For n = 3 it is a regular hexagon, for n = 4 a truncated octahedron. This polytope has many fascinating properties and can be used to illustrate various concepts in geometry, combinatorics and group theory, see [13]. Our starting point is the following analogue of the Alon–Füredi theorem observed by Hegedüs, see [8].

**Theorem 1.** Every almost cover of the vertices of  $\Pi_{n-1}$  consists of at least  $\binom{n}{2}$  hyperplanes. This bound is sharp.

A zonotope is a convex polytope that can be represented as the Minkowski sum of a finite number of line segments. A collection of line segments is called nondegenerate if no two of the segments are parallel to each other. Each zonotope Z can be written as the Minkowski sum of a nondegenerate collection of line segments, unique up to translations. The number of the summands, denoted by rk(Z), we call the rank of Z. In [8] we suggested that the above result and the Alon–Füredi theorem must be representatives in a more general famework.

**Conjecture 2.** Every almost cover of the vertices of a zonotope Z consists of at least rk(Z) hyperplanes.

<sup>\*</sup>Email: karolyi.gyula@renyi.hu

Apart from some small examples, all zonotopes for which we were able to verify this hypothesis turned out to be Coxeter permutahedra. Our purpose here is to initiate a systematic study of the almost covers of their vertex sets based on a polynomial method colloquially referred to as the application of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz.

We express our gratitude to Günter M. Ziegler for identifying one of our first examples as a permutahedron of type B, and to Francesco Santos for drawing the beautifully illuminating paper [6] of Fomin and Reading to our attention. For additional background information we refer to [9, 10].

## 2 Two elementary examples

The 2-dimensional zonotopes of rank r are exactly the centrally symmetric convex 2r-gons, and every almost cover of such a polygon with lines requires at least r lines. There are two types of them that occur as zonotopal Coxeter permutahedra: regular 2r-gons and equiangular 2r-gons (r even) with alternating edge lengths. (The vertices of) any prism over such polygons have almost covers of size r + 1. An elementary argument using a simple modular invariant reveals that r planes do not suffice.

**Theorem 3.** Let Z be a prism over a regular 2n-gon. Then every almost cover of the vertices of Z consists of at least rk(Z) = n + 1 planes.

**Theorem 4.** Let Z be a prism over an equiangular 4n-gon having alternating edge lengths. Then every almost cover of the vertices of Z consists of at least rk(Z) = 2n + 1 planes.

## 3 The polynomial toolkit

The Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, formulated by Noga Alon in the late nineties, describes, in an efficient way, the structure of multivariate polynomials whose zero-set includes a Cartesian product over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . This characterization immediately implies ([1]) the first part of the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  be subsets of  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $|S_i| = k_i$ , and let f be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x] = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  whose degree is at most  $\sum_{i=1}^n (k_i - 1)$ .

- (i) If f(s) = 0 for every  $s \in S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ , then the coefficient of the monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k_i-1}$  in f is zero.
- (ii) If f(s) = 0 for all but one element  $s \in S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ , then the coefficient of the monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{k_i-1}$  in f is not zero.

The second part can be derived directly from (i) rather easily and is contained implicitly in many works, e.g. it is a very special case of Corollary 4.2 in [3]. The result has innumerable variations with even more different proofs, see e.g. [12]. Apparently they all depend on two basic principles: reduction modulo a standard Gröbner basis and Lagrange interpolation. It also implies the following immediate consequence of Theorem 5 in [2] we find particularly useful for the present work.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  be nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $B = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ . If a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  vanishes at every point of B except one, then its degree is at least  $\sum_{i=1}^n (|S_i| - 1)$ .

For a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  set  $V(f) = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(a) = 0\}$ ; it is called a hypersurface of degree deg f. Note that the union of m hyperplanes is a hypersurface of degree m. Thus an almost cover of  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a hypersurface satisfying  $X \setminus \{v\} \subseteq V(f)$ ,  $v \notin V(f)$  for some  $v \in X$  and a polynomial f that splits into linear factors over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For an arbitrary hypersurface V(f) satisfying the above two conditions for X and v we say that it is an almost cover of X: it covers every point of X except v. Throughout this work we are going to employ the following consequence of Theorem 6.

**Corollary 7.** Let  $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq B = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $d = (\sum_{i=1}^n |S_i|) - n - \deg f$ . If  $X = B \setminus V(f)$ , then every hypersurface which is an almost cover of X has degree at least d.

For example, the Alon–Füredi theorem follows with the choice  $S_i \equiv \{0,1\}, X = B, f = 1$ . For the first statement in Theorem 1 one can use  $S_i \equiv \{1, 2, ..., n\}, X = P_n, f = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_j - x_i)$ .

#### 4 Prisms over permutahedra

Here we demonstrate how Theorem 5 can be used via a polynomial invariant to verify Conjecture 2 for prisms over permutahedra. Because of affine invariance it is enough to prove it for the prism whose bases are  $\Pi_{n-1}$  and  $-\Pi_{n-1} = \Pi_{n-1} - (n+1)(e_1 + \cdots + e_n)$ , where  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  is the standard orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 8.** Every almost cover of  $P_n \cup (-P_n)$  consists of at least  $\binom{n}{2} + 1$  hyperplanes.

Proof. Let  $m = \binom{n}{2}$  and suppose that the hyperplanes  $H_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$  cover every point of  $P_n \cup (-P_n)$  except v. By symmetry, we may assume that  $v \in -P_n$ . The hyperplane  $H_i$  is defined by an equation  $f_i(x) = a_i$  where  $f_i$  is a linear form. Consider the Vandermonde polynomial  $V(x) = \prod_{i < j} (x_j - x_i)$ . The polynomial

$$f(x) = V(x) \prod_{i=1}^{m} (f_i(x) - a_i))$$

of degree n(n-1) vanishes at every point of the Cartesian product  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}^n$ . By Theorem 5 (i), the coefficient of the monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1}$  in f must be zero.

On the other hand, the polynomial f attains the value 0 at every point of the Cartesian product  $\{-1, -2, \ldots, -n\}^n$  except v. That is, the polynomial

$$g(x) = f(-x) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} V(x) \prod_{i=1}^{m} (-f_i(x) - a_i) = V(x) \prod_{i=1}^{m} (f_i(x) + a_i)$$

of degree n(n-1) vanishes at every point of the Cartesian product  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}^n$  except -v. By Theorem 5 (ii), the coefficient of the monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{n-1}$  in g must be nonzero. Since the degree n(n-1) parts of the polynomials f and g are identical, we arrive at a contradiction.

#### 5 Reflection groups, root systems and Coxeter permutahedra

Let V be an n-dimensional real euclidean space with orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Here and in what follows we identify the vectors of V with the points of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For a nonzero vector  $\alpha \in V$  we denote by  $s_\alpha$  the orthogonal reflection in the linear hyperplane  $H_\alpha$  orthogonal to  $\alpha$ . Thus,  $s_\alpha(\alpha) = -\alpha$ . A finite reflection group acting on V is any finite group generated by (a nonempty set of) such reflections. A root system  $\Phi$  is a set of nonzero vectors satisfying  $\Phi \cap \mathbb{R}\alpha = \{-\alpha, \alpha\}$  and  $s_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi$  for every  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Crytallographic root systems satisfy an extra integrality condition. The group  $W(\Phi)$  (called Weyl group in the crystallographic case) of orthogonal transformations generated by the reflections  $s_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is always a finite reflection group in which the reflections exhaust  $\Phi$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is invariant under the action of W. Conversely, if W is a finite reflection group, then the unit vectors  $\alpha$  for which  $s_\alpha \in W$ form a root system  $\Phi$  for which  $W = W(\Phi)$ . If the vectors in  $\Phi$  form one orbit under the action of W, then  $W = W(\Phi')$  if and only if  $\Phi' = c\Phi$  for some  $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, if  $\Phi$  is the union of more than one orbits, then the common length of the vectors in an orbit may be scaled arbitrarily for each orbit. Thus, if  $W = I_2(m)$  is the symmetry group of a regular m-gon centered at the origin, then each corresponding root system has 2m elements, which form one orbit if m is odd and splits into two orbits of equal size if m is even. Let  $W = W(\Phi)$  be a finite reflection group. For any point  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , consider its orbit W(a). The point a is called *generic* with respect to W, if |W(a)| = |W|, or equivalently,  $a \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_{\alpha}$ . In this case W(a) is the vertex set of a (not necessarily full dimensional) convex polytope  $\Pi W(a)$ , referred to as a *W*-permutahedron, or a Coxeter permutohedron of type W. Thus, a permutahedron of type  $I_2(m)$ is either a regular 2m-gon, or an equiangular 2m-gon with alternating edge lengths (the latter being a zonotope only for m even), and each such polygon centered at the origin can be obtained as a Coxeter permutahedron for an appropriate choice of  $\Phi$ . All vertices except one can be covered by m, but not less lines.

A root system  $\Phi$  is irreducible if it cannot be partitioned into two subsets lying in two nontrivial orthogonal complements of V, or equivalently, if  $W(\Phi)$  is not the direct sum of two proper subgroups acting as reflection groups on two such subspaces. Theorems 3 and 4 thus read as follows: *Every almost cover of a zonotopal permutahedron of type*  $I_2(m) \oplus A_1$  *requires at least* m + 1 *hyperplanes.* Note that the group contains exactly m + 1 reflections.

Next consider the reflection group  $A_{n-1}$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes of equation  $x_{i+1} = x_i$ , i = 1, ..., n-1. It is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_n$ , and a point is generic if and only if all its coordinates are different. Thus we have  $\Pi_{n-1} = \Pi A_{n-1}(1, 2, ..., n)$ , and Thm 1 coupled with the remark following its proof in [8] can be read as follows: Every almost cover of the vertices of a Coxeter permutahedron of type  $A_{n-1}$  consists of at least  $\binom{n}{2}$  hyperplanes. The bound is also sharp. Note that the vectors  $e_i - e_j$   $(i \neq j)$  form a root system for  $A_{n-1}$ , so the bound equals the number of reflections contained in  $A_{n-1}$ . In general, for a reflection group  $W = W(\Phi)$ , the number of reflections contained in W is  $N(W) = |\Phi|/2$ .

It is not difficult to prove an analogue of Thm 1 for permutahedra of type B. The hyperoctahedral group  $B_n$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes of equation  $x_{i+1} = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , together with the reflection in the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$ ; it contains  $A_{n-1}$  as a subgroup. Altogether it contains  $n^2$  reflections in the hyperplanes  $x_i = \varepsilon x_j$   $(1 \le i < j \le n, \varepsilon = \pm 1)$  and  $x_i = 0$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ . Thus,  $N(B_n) = n^2$ . A point  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is generic if and only if  $a_i \ne 0$  for all i and  $|a_i| \ne |a_j|$  for all  $i \ne j$ . Thus every orbit of a generic point is of the form

$$B_n(a) = \{\varepsilon_1 a_{\pi(1)} + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_{\pi(n)} \mid \varepsilon_i = \pm 1, \pi \in S_n\}$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with coordinates  $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ .

**Theorem 9.** Every almost cover of the vertices of a Coxeter permutahedron of type  $B_n$  consists of at least  $n^2$  hyperplanes. This bound is sharp.

*Proof.* The vertex set of the permutahedron  $\Pi B_n(a)$  with  $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$  is contained in the Cartesian product  $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$  where  $S_i = \{a_i, -a_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$ , and each point in  $(S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n) \setminus B_n(a)$  is a root of the polynomial

$$f(x) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_j - x_i)(x_j + x_i)$$

of degree n(n-1). According to Corollary 7, every almost cover of  $B_n(a)$  consists of at least

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |S_i|\right) - n - \deg f = 2n^2 - n - n(n-1) = n^2$$

hyperplanes. To see that the bound cannot be improved, notice that the hyperplanes  $x_i = a_j$  (i < j),  $x_i = -a_j$   $(i \le j)$  cover every vertex but  $a = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ .

The study of almost covers of the vertices of permutahedra of type D is more subtle. The group  $D_n$  is the subgroup of index 2 in  $B_n$  generated by the reflections in the hyperplanes of equation  $x_{i+1} = x_i$ , i = 1, ..., n - 1, together with the reflection in the hyperplane  $x_2 = -x_1$ . Altogether it contains n(n-1) reflections in the hyperplanes  $x_i = \varepsilon x_j$   $(1 \le i < j \le n, \varepsilon = \pm 1)$ . A point  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  is generic if and only if  $|a_i| \ne |a_j|$  for all  $i \ne j$ . Thus every orbit of a generic point is of the form

$$D_n(a) = \{\varepsilon_1 a_{\pi(1)} + \dots + \varepsilon_n a_{\pi(n)} \mid \pi \in S_n, \varepsilon \in E\}$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with coordinates  $-a_2 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ , where E is either of the two subsets of  $\{-1, 1\}^n$  that consists of all vectors in which the number of -1 coordinates are the same modulo 2.

**Theorem 10.** Every almost cover of the vertices of a Coxeter permutahedron of type  $D_n$  consists of at least n(n-1) hyperplanes. This bound is sharp in the following sense: if a is a generic point one of whose coordinates is 0, then  $D_n(a)$  has an almost cover of size n(n-1).

*Proof.* It is very similar to the previous one if the vertices of the permutahedron have a 0 coordinate. Otherwise we may assume by symmetry that the vertex set is  $D_n(a)$  with  $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ . In this case we can apply Corollary 7 with the polynomial

$$f(x) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (x_j - x_i)(x_j + x_i) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i + \prod_{i=1}^n a_i\right)$$

of degree  $n^2$ .

These results suggest that the following might be true.

**Conjecture 11.** For a finite reflection group W, every almost cover of the vertices of a permutahedron of type W consists of at least N(W) hyperplanes.

In contrast, all vertices of a Coxeter permutahedron are contained in a single hypersurface of degree 2, namely a sphere centered at the origin.

## 6 Zonotopal permutahedra

For the reflection group  $W = A_n$ , the orbit of any generic point contains a unique point  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n+1})$  with  $a_1 < \cdots < a_{n+1}$ . Similarly, for  $W = B_n$ , the orbit of any generic point contains a unique point  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  with  $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ . For such points it is known that the Coxeter permutahedron  $\Pi W(a)$  is a zonotope if and only if the coordinates  $a_i$  form an arithmetic progression, see [7, Thm 4.13]. We can prove an analogous statement for permutahedra of type D, and in fact all these results can be viewed as special cases of a more general phenomenon. For a root system  $\Phi$ , consider any set  $\Phi^+$  of positive roots. The Minkowski sum of the line segments  $[-\alpha/2, \alpha/2]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$ , independent of the choice of  $\Phi^+$  we denote by  $Z(\Phi)$ . Then  $\operatorname{rk}(Z(\Phi)) = N(W(\Phi))$ .

**Theorem 12.** Let W be a finite reflection group with a corresponding root system  $\Phi$ . Then  $Z(\Phi)$  is a permutahedron of type W.

The reflection group W is called essential if it acts on V without nonzero fixed points. In general,  $V = U \oplus U'$ , where W is essential relative to U and the orthogonal complement U' consists of all fixed points of W.

**Theorem 13.** A permutahedron  $\Pi$  of type W is a zonotope if and only if there exists a root system  $\Phi$ with  $W(\Phi) = W$  and a vector  $u \in U'$  such that  $\Pi = Z(\Phi) + u$ .

Although it is not likely that Conjectures 2 and 11 for  $Z(\Phi)$  in general can be attacked by our methods, it is possible to say something more for crystallographic root systems. We call a zonotope  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ special if there exist finite sets  $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a polynomial f such that the vertex set X of Z is  $(S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n) \setminus V(f)$  and

$$\operatorname{rk}(Z) \le |S_1| + \dots + |S_n| - n - \deg f.$$

According to Corollary 7, every almost cover of the vertices of a special zonotope Z consists of at least  $\operatorname{rk}(Z)$  hyperplanes. Now for an irreducible crystallographic root system  $\Phi$ ,  $Z(\Phi)$  is special if the type of  $\Phi$  is  $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$  or  $G_2$ . Moreover, if V is the sum of the orthogonal subspaces  $V_1, V_2$ and  $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$  with  $\Phi_i = \Phi \cap V_i$ , then  $Z(\Phi)$  is the product polytope  $Z(\Phi_1) \times Z(\Phi_2)$ . In general,  $\operatorname{rk}(Z_1 \times Z_2) = \operatorname{rk}(Z_1) + \operatorname{rk}(Z_2)$  holds for arbitrary zonotopes  $Z_1, Z_2$ . Then the following construction yields further examples for which these conjectures hold.

**Theorem 14.** If  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_k$  are special zonotopes, then so is  $Z_1 \times \cdots \times Z_k$ .

For the crystallographic root system  $\Phi$  of type  $F_4$ , the vertex set of  $Z(\Phi)$  splits into three  $B_4$ -orbits. We can construct an almost cover of size  $24 = \operatorname{rk}(Z(\Phi))$ , but we do not see if our method suits a proof that this is best possible.

## 7 Conclusion

We investigated how the polynomial method can be used to study almost covers of vertex sets of zopotopes and Coxeter permutahedra. In the meantime, Conjecture 2 was refuted by Gábor Damásdi, whereas Conjecture 11 was verified by Péter Frenkel.

## References

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 8 (1999), 7–29.
- [2] N. Alon and Z. Füredi, Covering the cube by affine hyperplanes, European Journal of Combinatorics 14 (1993), 79–83.
- [3] S. Ball and O. Serra, Punctured combinatorial Nullstellensätze, Combinatorica 29 (2009), 511–522.
- [4] A. Blokhuis, A.E. Brouwer, and T. Szőnyi, Covering all points except one, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics 32 (2010,) 59–66.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer and A. Schrijver, The blocking number of an affine space, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 24 (1978), 251–253.
- [6] S. Fomin and N. Reading, Root systems and generalized associahedra, in: *Geometric Combinatorics*, IAS/Park City Mathematics Series, 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2007, 63–131.
- [7] T. Godland and Z. Kabluchko, Projections and angle sums of belt polytopes and permutohedra, *Results in Mathematics* 78 (2023), #140, 29 pp.
- [8] G. Hegedüs and Gy. Károlyi, Covering the permutohedron by affine hyperplanes, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, to appear. See arXiv:2305.06202v3.
- C. Hohlweg, Permutahedra and Associahedra: Generalized associahedra from the geometry of finite reflection groups, in: Associahedra, Tamari Lattices and Related Structures, Progress in Mathematics, 299, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2012, 129–159.
- [10] J.E. Humphreys, Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [11] R.E. Jamison, Covering finite fields with cosets of subspaces, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 22 (1977), 253–266.
- [12] G. Rote, The generalized combinatorial Lasoń-Alon-Zippel-Schwartz Nullstellensatz lemma, preprint, 2023, arXiv:2305.10900.
- [13] G.M. Ziegler, Lectures on Polytopes, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 153, Springer, New York, 1995.